

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/963,243	09/26/2001	Curt R. Eyster	LIFE063	8576	
75	90 06/15/2006		EXAMINER		
LifeScan, Inc. 1000 Gibraltar Drive, M/S 3D			ALEXAND	ALEXANDER, LYLE	
ATTN: Mayumi Maeda			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Milpitas, CA			1743	1743	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				~
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
:		09/963,243	EYSTER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Lyle A. Alexander	1743	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133)	•
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		5
Dienociti	on of Claims	,		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acces Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	vn from consideration. relection requirement. repted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u></u> / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/963,243 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

à

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant's 4/5/06 amendments have not clarified the claims. Independent claims 1,5 and 10 are still unclear if the same area or of two different areas are claimed. If two different areas are intended they might be designated as a first area and a second area.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hirayaja et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 10/12/05 Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 3-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirayaja et al. in view of Phillips et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 10/12/05 Office action.

Response to Arguments

?

Applicant's arguments filed 4/5/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's state Hirayama teach a holding room that intervenes between the reagent layer and the sample supplying hole and cannot be read on the instant claims that require the reagent pad in contact with the sample receiving aperture. The claims require the reagent pad to be in contact with the receiving aperture. The Office maintains Hirayama teaches the reagent pad in fluid contact with receiving aperture and has been properly read on the instant claims. The instant claim language does not exclude additional structure taught by Hirayama.

Applicant's state Hirayama does not teach the claimed bottom surface with the claimed reflectivity. The Office maintains Hirayama teaches layer(5) having the claimed reflectivity and has been properly read on the claimed "bottom surface". Clarification could be achieved if Applicant, in a timely and proper manner, were to clarify the claim language as to the relative positions of receiving aperture, the reagent pad and bottom surface.

Applicants' traverse the combined teachings of Hirayaja et al. in view of Phillips et al. on the grounds Hirayaja et al. is a defective primary reference (e.g. does not teach the claimed "immediately adjacent" relationship). The Office does not agree and maintains the rejections for the above reasons.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1743

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 703-308-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743